Phil 101

Negative reactions to what you did (such as blame, punishment, guilt, etc) are appropriate/justified —(A)→ you deserve those reactions —(B)→ they're reactions to facts that were under your control, rather than depending on luck —(C)→ you could have done something different —(E)→ Determinism is false

(D): you deserve those reactions → you could have done something different

1. Retributivist/Desert views about what justifies punishment & other negative reactions: Accept —(A)→
   Consequentialist views reject —(A)→

2. Our actual practices sometimes seem to accept moral luck, rejecting —(B)→
   Part of why you deserve a negative reaction can be luck/outside your control.
   The <u>control principle</u> denies moral luck, accepts step —(B)→

3. The <u>Principle of Alternative Possibilities</u> accepts step —(D)→ and/or —(C)→
   Frankfurt & some other compatibilists reject —(D)→ or —(C)→

4. Other compatibilists reject —(E)→